# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,475	12/30/2003	Masad J. Damha	MGU-0025	7556	
	7590 12/31/2007			EXAMINER	
Licata & Tyrrell P.C. 66 E. Main Street			CHONG, KIMBERLY		
Marlton, NJ 08053			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1635		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

r		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/748,475	DAMHA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kimberly Chong	1635			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
A SH	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY					
- Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply l vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Oc	ctober 2007.				
2a)[_	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian raquirament				
8)[4]	Claim(s) <u>11-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•			
44)[]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	arriller. Note the attached Or	ille Action of John F 10-132.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>					
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ul>					
	application from the International Bureau		orved in the National Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		eived.			
Attachmen		<b></b>	(DTO 449)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		nal Patent Application			

10/748,475 Art Unit: 1635

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Request for Continued Examination

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/15/2007 has been entered.

## Status of the Application

Claims 11-18 and new claim 19 are pending. The claims are now subject to a restriction requirement as per below. MPEP 811, specifically 811.02 states:

37 CFR 1.142(a), second sentence, indicates that a restriction requirement will normally be made before any action upon the merits; however, it may be made at any time before final action. This means the examiner should make a proper requirement as early as possible in the prosecution, in the first action if possible, otherwise, as soon as the need for a proper requirement develops.

Before making a restriction requirement after the first action on the merits, the examiner will consider whether there will be a serious burden if restriction is not required. 37 CFR 1.142(a), second sentence, indicates that a restriction requirement will normally be made before any action upon the merits; however, it may be made at any time before final action. This means the examiner should make a proper requirement as early as possible in the prosecution, in the first action if possible, otherwise, as soon as the need for a proper requirement develops.

Before making a restriction requirement after the first action on the merits, the examiner will consider whether there will be a serious burden if restriction is not required

10/748,475 Art Unit: 1635

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 12-19, drawn to a composition for inhibiting the RNase H activity of a retroid virus reverse transcriptase comprising an inhibitory agent of Formula I wherein the inhibitory agent comprises the nucleotide sequence SEQ ID No. 2, classifiable in class 536, subclass 24.5.
- II. Claims 12-19, drawn to a composition for inhibiting the RNase H activity of a retroid virus reverse transcriptase comprising an inhibitory agent of Formula I wherein the inhibitory agent comprises the nucleotide sequence SEQ ID No. 9, classifiable in class 536, subclass 24.5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are directed to related inhibitory agents that inhibit the RNase H activity of a retroid virus reverse transcriptase. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inhibitory agents are mutually exclusive because each inhibitory agent comprises distinct nucleotide sequences that are not obvious variants of each other. Moreover, the inhibitory agents of groups I and II are not disclosed in the instant specification as capable of use together. Furthermore restriction is proper because the subject matter is

divergent and non-coextensive and a search for one would not necessarily reveal art against the other. It is therefore a burden to search these inventions in a single application.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement

10/748,475 Art Unit: 1635

may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

10/748,475 Art Unit: 1635

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim 11 link(s) inventions of groups I and II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 11. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly Chong whose telephone number is 571-272-3111. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday between 7-4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Schultz can be reached at 571-272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight

10/748,475 Art Unit: 1635 Page 7

(EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

/Kimberly Chong/ Examiner Art Unit 1635